

Ø 002/004

Attorney Docket No.: PHNL000578

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPL. NO.:

10/043,389

ART UNIT:

2881

APPLICANT:

Jan Martijn Krans et al

EXAMINER:

FILING DATE:

10/26/2001

TITLE:

SEM Provided with an Adjustable Final Electrode in the Electrostatic

Objective

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

FAX RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

APR 1 1 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Applicant responds as follows to the February 13, 2003 Office action.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims I-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 for obviousness over U.S. Pub No. 2001/0010357 to Ose et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,149,968 to Sato.

Applicant responds as follows. Ose et al. has an effective prior art date under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of its U.S. filing date, that is, Jan. 25, 2001. The present application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 from EP00203786.9, filed Oct 31, 2000, and therefore has an effective filing date of Oct. 31, 2000 (MPEP 602(C)), which is prior to the 102(e) date of

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being transmitted via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231. 12th day of April, 2003.

Nama:





Thus, Ose et al. is not prior art to the present application, and applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Rejections for obviousness type double patenting

Regarding the provisional obviousness type double patenting rejections over U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0125428A1 and U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0079449, applicant expects the rejection will be withdrawn in accordance with MPEP 804I(B) when the application is otherwise found to be allowable.

Regarding the obviousness-type double patenting rejection over U.S. Pat. No. 6,184,525 (the '525 patent), applicant submits that claims 1-5 of the present invention are not obvious over the claims of '525 patent. The '525 patent describes an environmental SEM with a magnetic final lens, which is quite a different configuration from the claims of the present application.

For example, the claims of the present application recite detection means "arranged ahead of the focusing device, viewed in the propagation direction of the electrically charged particles in the primary beam." The claims of the '525 patent recite a "detection electrode for generating an electric filed in the space between the detection electrode and the specimen holder." Similarly, claim 1 of the '525 patent recites magnetic immersion lens, whereas claim 1 of the present invention recites "a focusing device (14, 16) for forming a focus of the primary beam in the vicinity of the specimen holder by means of electrostatic electrode."

Regarding the obviousness type double patenting rejection over U.S. Pat. No 6,218,664 (the '664 patent), applicant submits that claims 1-5 of the present invention are not obvious over the claims of '664 patent. The invention of the present application solves the problem of how to use voltage contrast to enhance imaging, while still efficiently collecting secondary electrons. Voltage contrast is not discussed in the '664 patent.

Claim 1 of the present application recites "power supply means (28) for adjusting a potential difference between the specimen (18) to be irradiated by means of the apparatus and the final electrode." The claims of the '664 patent do not recite a power supply for adjusting a



Ø 004/004

potential difference between the specimen to be irradiated and the final electrode. Moreover, the specification of the '664 patent indicates that the specimen 18 is grounded (col. 4, line 18) and the '664 patent does not describe adjusting the voltages of the specimen. Applicant submits therefor that claims 1-5 of the present application are not obvious over the claims of the '664 patent and respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Patent Reg. 36,919 P.O. Box 164140

Austin, Texas 78716-4140 Telephone: (512) 328-9510 Facsimile: (512) 306-1963

FAX RECEIVED

APR 1 1 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG

Patent Attorney

April 11, 2003

Fax

Name:

Phillip A. Johnston

FAX RECEIVED

Organization:

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APR 1 1 2003

Fax:

1-703-872-9318

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

From:

Michael O. Scheinberg

PO Box 164140

Austin, TX 78716-4140

Phone:

(512) 328-9510

Fax:

(512) 306-1963 April 11, 2003

Date:

April 11, 2003

Subject: Pages:

APPL. NO.:

10/043,389

ART UNIT:

2881

APPLICANT:

Jan Martijn Krans et al.

EXAMINER: Phillip A. Johnston

FILING DATE:

10/26/2001

TITLE:

SEM Provided with an Adjustable Final Electrode in the Electrostatic Objective

In connection with the above-identified patent application, applicants submit the following:

- 1. Fax Cover Sheet
- 2. Response to Office Action

Michael O. Scheinberg Reg. No.: 36,919

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return the original message to Michael O. Scheinberg at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our expense.

Mailing Address P.O. Box 164140 Physical Address 3425 Bee Cave Rd., Suite B1

Telephone: Voice: (512) 328-9510
Fax: (512) 306-1963
E-mail: mscheinberg@sgnlaw.com